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**SUMMARY OF ASSESSMENT**

1. **Completeness of project background**

Is the literature review that gives the background adequate, current and relevant to the proposal? Is the statement of the issues and problems to be addressed sufficiently clear to the committee? Is the title an accurate one for the proposal?

2. **Research Approach and Technical Objectives**

The research approach should be consistent with the objectives and the scientific and practical aspects of the research methodology. Consider the approach to data collection, data analysis and applicability of the proposed equipment.

3. **Viability of Research**

Are the issues to be addressed realistic and narrow for 12-36 months study? Is the methodology (the procedure and the methods to be applied in the study) current, reasonable, adequate and appropriate? The Evaluation Committee should assess this by taking into consideration the risks of support equipment failure, inadequate technical support, etc.

4. **The Experience, Qualifications and Availability of Research Team**

The reviewer should consider the relevant experience and background of the key projects individuals and co-researcher. Have they successfully completed related projects? The reviewers’ evaluation should be based on the evidence contained in the proposal pertaining to their experience, availability and the indicated amount of effort by the principal investigator and team.

5. **Capability of project leader**

Has the applicant conducted relevant research in the area/field of specializations? Has the applicant published within the proposed area? Are his/her previous works relevant to the current proposal? If the applicant is a beginner, please indicate so as special consideration is always given to new staff to start them into research.

6. **Capability, appropriateness and availability of research team**

Are the co-researchers in the relevant area? Are there sufficient grounds given to justify recruitment of more than one project assistants?

7.**Appropriateness of cost estimates**

Is the budget reasonable and acceptable?

8*.* **Utilization of existing/available infrastructure**

The Evaluation Committee should ensure that the applicant reduces to a minimum any call upon outside facilities and as far as possible and within the context of the research that the applicant intends this must mean confining the research to the closest available sites to their campus.